REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claim Amendments

The Applicant has amended no claims. Applicant respectfully submits no new matter has been added. Accordingly, claims 1-31 are pending in the application. Favorable reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

Examiner Objection – Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 12/16/2005 is objected to because it fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2). Applicant has resubmitted the information disclosure statement and attached each non-patent literature publication that is listed in the information disclosure statement. The Examiner's consideration of the attached references listed in the information disclosure statement is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a)

Claims 1-31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niemi et al (RFC 3310, HTTP Digest Authentication Using AKA) in view of Reiche (6,092,196). The Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection of these claims and directs the Examiner's attention to extracted limitations from claim 1:

> 1   (Original) A method of generating a password for use by an end-user device (UE) to access a remote server, comprising:
>   sending a request for access from the UE to the remote server;
>   creating a <u>temporary identity</u> for the UE;... (Emphasis added)

The Applicant respectfully asserts that the Niemi reference and the Reiche references fail to teach or suggest, individually or in combination, at least the emphasized limitation.

The Reiche reference is cited for disclosing creating a temporary identity (col. 5, lines 17-25). The Applicant has reviewed the cited portion of Reiche and respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's interpretation of the cited portion. Reiche discusses a user browser making contact with the central authentication server. The browser carries

a transaction ID. The transaction ID identifies a session of initiating access grant control transaction (col. 4, line 62-65). Further, the central authentication server initiates an authentication challenge to the user machine/browser. The user is then required to enter a user ID and password. The Applicant respectfully submits that in this passage Reiche is not creating a temporary identity for the user.

The transaction ID, when sent to the customer server, is compared to a transaction ID held in memory. If a match is found the only thing determined is that the current session is the same session corresponding to the transaction ID held in the memory – merely establishing session continuity but no information about legitimacy of the user. (col. 5, lines 58-63). The transaction ID and the user ID are the only IDs mentioned in the cited passage. The only ID mentioned in Reiche that can be used to authenticate is the user ID and that is a permanent ID.

On the other hand the Applicant's claimed temporary password enables authentication of the user (see paragraphs [0026], [0041], [0042], [0043] and others). The Applicant respectfully asserts that the Examiner has not met the burden of establishing a prima facie case of obviousness and respectfully requests the allowance of claims 1-31.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing remarks, the Applicant believes all of the claims currently pending in the Application to be in a condition for allowance. The Applicant, therefore, respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw all rejections and issue a Notice of Allowance for all pending claims.

The Applicant requests a telephonic interview if the Examiner has any questions or requires any additional information that would further or expedite the prosecution of the Application.

Respectfully submitted,

By Sidney L. Weatherford
Registration No. 45,602

Date: December 3, 2008

Ericsson Inc.
6300 Legacy Drive, M/S EVR 1-C-11
Plano, Texas 75024

(972) 583-8656
sidney.weatherford@ericsson.com